



## Lincoln Middle-High School

714 Lincoln Road

McClellanville, SC 29458

<b>Grades</b>	7-12 Middle School	
<b>Enrollment</b>	138 Students	
<b>Principal</b>	Dr. Yvonne Commodore	(843) 887-3244
<b>Superintendent</b>	Dr. Nancy J. McGinley	843-937-6319
<b>Board Chair</b>	Mrs. Cindy Bohn Coats	843-529-2457

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2013 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

## RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
<b>2013</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Good</b>
2012	At-Risk	At-Risk
2011	Below Average	Average
2010	Below Average	Average
2009	N/A	N/A

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

<http://ed.sc.gov>

<http://www.eoc.sc.gov>

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located | 97.5%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\*

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
0	0	29	27	15

\* Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/14/2014.

Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)



\* Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms

Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable    N/AV–Not Available    N/C–Not Collected    N/R–Not Reported    I/S–Insufficient Sample

End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	100.0%	89.4%
English 1	N/A	87.0%
Physical Science	N/A	N/A
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	100.0%	89.7%

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=138)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	19.9%	Down from 50.0%	14.1%	24.6%
Retention rate	2.2%	Down from 2.3%	1.1%	0.6%
Attendance rate	96.2%	Down from 96.3%	95.3%	95.9%
Served by gifted and talented program	15.7%	N/A	7.0%	18.5%
With disabilities	16.4%	N/A	15.8%	13.0%
Older than usual for grade	6.0%	N/A	7.7%	4.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	4.4%	Down from 9.4%	0.5%	0.6%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=25)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	52.0%	Down from 57.1%	59.8%	61.5%
Continuing contract teachers	56.0%	Down from 60.7%	68.7%	77.2%
Teachers returning from previous year	69.0%	Down from 74.4%	79.2%	85.9%
Teacher attendance rate	96.0%	No Change	95.2%	94.9%
Average teacher salary*	\$43,806	Down 2.5%	\$44,395	\$47,313
Professional development days/teacher	11.6 days	Up from 6.0 days	9.3 days	10.1 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	10.1 to 1	Up from 8.5 to 1	18.6 to 1	22.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.2%	Down from 91.8%	89.3%	89.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	98.7%	Up from 94.6%	98.7%	99.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$24,539	Up 11.9%	\$9,472	\$7,239
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	56.0%	Up from 52.7%	60.5%	63.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	54.0%	Up from 50.1%	55.0%	61.0%

\* Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

\*\* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Lincoln Middle-High School is making gains toward the mission of being an example of notable excellence in achievement. Lincoln Middle-High School students in the spring 2013 MAP testing of Reading and Language Usage exceeded the National Norms in both 10th and 11th grades. Additionally, the 11th grade students increased scores in all three areas of Reading, Language Usage, and Math. 11th grade students exceeded National Norms in Math. Lincoln 7th and 8th grade students in the Middle School increased scores in all three areas of Reading, Math, and Language Usage over spring 2012 MAP tests.

LMHS maintained an Average Absolute Rating for the past three years (2010, 2011, and 2012). We are actively engaged in rigorous interventions to help close the achievement gap. Interventions that are currently in place at LMHS are Saturday School, 21st Century after-school program, Test Prep sessions, Mentoring, Character Education, Reading and Literacy programs. The High Schools That Work/Making Middle Grades Work key initiatives are also being implemented in an effort to elevate the overall student achievement. LMHS graduation rate for 2012 was 76.9%, up from 75.6% in 2011. According to 2012 PASS results, LMHS outscored middle schools with students like ours in the exemplary category in science and social studies. AP Art, AP Literature, AP Statistics are now in full implementation at LMHS. This school year produced the most career completers/concentrators that LMHS has had in five years. LMHS boasts 100% passing rate on the State Licensing Exam in our Nail Technology Program.

The implementation of the 7th grade academy was instrumental in assisting incoming students with developing effective study habits, time management skills, and other habits of success. The academy assisted with transition and provided academic support for engagement in a rigorous curriculum. Currently, all teachers at LMHS are Highly Qualified and are involved in ongoing professional development.

Dr. Yvonne Commodore, Principal  
Mrs. Tiffany Jenkins, SIC Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	19	8	23
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.4%	N/A	91.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	89.5%	N/A	82.6%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	63.1%	N/A	95.7%

\* Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: <http://ed.sc.gov/data/eSEA/> or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	93.2
Overall Grade Conversion	A

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	A	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	B	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	C	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

Lincoln Middle-High School school has been designated as a:

- ☐ Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
- ☐ Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
- ☐ Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
- ☒ Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
- ☐ Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance

	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.1%	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	4.1%	4.9%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.9%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	96.2%	94.0%*	Yes

\* Or greater than last year

Performance By Group

Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
Grades 6-8						
All Students	632.5	615.6	617.7	609.0	100.0	100.0
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	632.5	615.6	617.7	609.0	100.0	100.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	631.6	618.0	618.2	607.1	100.0	100.0
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	628.0	628.0	628.0	628.0	95.0	95.0

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable   N/AV–Not Available   N/C–Not Collected   N/R–Not Reported   I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
--	-------	----------------------------------	----------	-----------	-------	-------------	-----------------------

English/Language Arts

2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	12	100	75	8.3	16.7	25
	8	26	100	72	16	12	28
2013	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	31	100	36.7	40	23.3	63.3
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

Mathematics

2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	12	100	58.3	33.3	8.3	41.7
	8	26	100	72	16	12	28
2013	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	31	100	53.3	40	6.7	46.7
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

Science

2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	12	100	50	16.7	33.3	50
	8	12	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	58.3
2013	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	31	100	30	53.3	16.7	70
	8	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable    N/AV–Not Available    N/C–Not Collected    N/R–Not Reported    I/S–Insufficient Sample

PASS Performance By Grade Level

	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
--	-------	----------------------------------	----------	-----------	-------	-------------	-----------------------

Social Studies

2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	12	100	75	8.3	16.7	25
	8	14	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	15.4
2013	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	31	100	36.7	46.7	16.7	63.3
	8	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

Writing

2012	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	25	100	75	12.5	12.5	25
2013	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	32	93.8	24.1	51.7	24.1	75.9
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A–Not Applicable

N/AV–Not Available

N/C–Not Collected

N/R–Not Reported

I/S–Insufficient Sample